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1. (a) Fisher $i$ chooses $x_{i}$ to solve

$$
\max \frac{x_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}\right)^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}-w x_{i}
$$

taking as given the behavior of the other fishers.
The optimal choice of $x_{i}$ is determined by the first order condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{d \pi}{d x_{i}} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}}-\frac{x_{i}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}\right)^{2}}\right]\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}\right)^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}+\left[\frac{x_{i}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}\right)}\right] \alpha\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}\right)^{\alpha-1} K^{1-\alpha}-w
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume symmetry. That is, let $X=\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}$ and $x_{i}=x_{j}=X / N$ for all $i, j$.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\Longrightarrow \\
0
\end{array} \frac{1}{X}-\frac{X / N}{X^{2}}\right](X)^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}+\left[\frac{X / N}{X}\right] \alpha(X)^{\alpha-1} K^{1-\alpha}-w
$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$ all of the terms involving $X / N \rightarrow 0$ and we are left with,

$$
w=\left[\frac{1}{X}\right](X)^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}
$$

which is $\operatorname{ARP}(x)=\mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{x})$, just as in Gordon.
(b) if $N=1$ then $x=X$ and our first order condition is

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =\left[\frac{1}{X}-\frac{1}{X}\right](X)^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}+\alpha(X)^{\alpha-1} K^{1-\alpha} \\
& =\alpha(X)^{\alpha-1} K^{1-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

but this is just $M R P(x)=M C(x)$
(c) Your graph should look like this:


In the first part of this problem we have $A R P=w$ in the second part we have $M R P=w$.
2. (a) Our Fisher solves

$$
\max _{h_{i}} h_{i}+\frac{1}{N}\left(K_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{1+r}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $h_{i}$ we have our first order condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \pi}{d h_{i}}=0  \tag{1}\\
\Longrightarrow & 1+\frac{1}{(1+r) N}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-1)\right]=0  \tag{2}\\
\Longrightarrow & \frac{1}{2(1+r) N}\left(K_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}\right)^{-1 / 2}=1  \tag{3}\\
\Longrightarrow & \left(K_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2 N(1+r)}  \tag{4}\\
\Longrightarrow & K_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}=\left(\frac{1}{2 N(1+r)}\right)^{2}  \tag{5}\\
\Longrightarrow & \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}=K_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{2 N(1+r)}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

If all fishers are identical then $h_{1}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j}$ and we have

$$
h_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{N}\left[K_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{2 N(1+r)}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

(b) Note that from 6 , as $N \rightarrow \infty, \sum h_{j} \rightarrow K_{1}$ so that aggregate profits are close to $K_{1}$.
If instead, a single fisher exploits the whole fishery we have, from 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\frac{1}{(1+r)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}-h_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2}(-1)\right]=0 \\
\Longrightarrow & h_{1}^{*}=K_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{2(1+r)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we substitute this value of $h_{1}$ into the expression for profits we find that profits for the fishery when it is managed by a single profit maximizing owner are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{*} & =\left(K_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{2(1+r)}\right)^{2}\right)+\left(K_{1}-\left(K_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{2(1+r)}\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{1+r} \\
& =K_{1}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{(1+r)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is always strictly larger than $K_{1}$, so in a large fishery a single individual can always buy out all of the other individuals and have about $\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{(1+r)}\right)^{2}$ left over.
In fact, this doesn't happen in reality, and you might want to think about why this is.
3. (a) The steel mill solves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max p f\left(l_{s}\right)-w l_{s} \\
\Longrightarrow & p f^{\prime}\left(l_{s}\right)=w
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) The fishery solves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max q g\left(l_{c}, h\right)-w l_{c} \\
\Longrightarrow & q \frac{\partial g\left(l_{c}, h\right)}{\partial l_{c}}=w
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Since the steel mill does not account for the damage it causes the fishery in its production decisions, we should expect the economy to produce too much steel and too few fish relative to the amounts that maximize total profits.

(d) The conglomerate solves

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad \max _{l_{c}, l_{s}} p f\left(l_{s}\right)+q g\left(l_{c}, h\right)-w\left(l_{c}+l_{s}\right) \\
\Longrightarrow \\
\quad q \frac{\partial g\left(l_{c}, h\right)}{\partial l_{c}}=w \\
\quad p f^{\prime}\left(l_{s}\right)+q \frac{\partial g\left(l_{c}, h\right)}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} f^{\prime}\left(l_{s}\right)=w
\end{gathered}
$$

The term involving $q$ in the second first order condition describes the lost revenues in the fishery associated with the marginal unit of labor used to make steel.
(e) Under joint ownership, the conglomerate tries to maximize total profits to the fishery and the steel mill. In this case, the steel mill chooses steel output in a way that reflects its total cost.

